RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

. 9 -

DEC 07 2006

Remarks

The present response is to the Office Action mailed in the above-referenced case on September 07, 2006. Claims 1-34 are standing for examination. Claims 1-17 and 20-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodrill et al. (US 6738803B1) hereinafter Dodrill, in view of Butler et al. (US 6460057B1) hereinafter Butler, and further in view of Pickering (US 6704708B1) hereinafter Pickering. Claims 18-19 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodrill, Butler, Pickering and further in view of Da Costa (US 6665658B1) hereinafter Da Costa.

Applicant has carefully studied the art presented and relied upon by the Examiner, and the Examiner's rejection and statements of the instant Office Action. In response, applicant herein amends the claims to more particularly point out the subject matter considered patentable over the art presented by the Examiner.

Regarding claims 1 and 20, the claims are herein amended to positively recite receiving voice application data over an Internet network regarding the voice applications, wherein the voice application data includes location data to indicate where the voice applications are located on a remote Web site on the Internet network.

The Examiner relies upon Dodrill to teach that the received data includes location data to indicate where voice applications are located on the network. Dodrill specifically teaches, as shown in Fig. 4, the web server 64, the application server 66 and the voice web applications 68 reside within a gateserver 92. The gateserver 92 includes a browser based XML editor tool 94 that enables a web

- 10 -

programmer to design voice applications using XML pages, described below. The XML pages are stored as XML applications and functions 96, for example within a database accessible by the application server 66 (col. 8, lines 57-61).

Applicant argues that Dodrill fails to teach locating applications on a network. Dodrill clearly teaches that all applications are stored in a database locally in the gateserver, therefore, there is no need to receive information regarding available applications on the Internet with location information to said applications.

Regarding dependent claims 8 and 27, said claim are amended to positively recite that the URLs are remote Web sites on the Internet. The Examiner states that Dodrill, Butler and Pickering teach that the location data is a URL which indicates where on the network the voice applications are located on the Internet network, providing numerous columns and line numbers for each. Applicant has read the provided portions in the art and fails to find specific teachings of location data received on the network including URLs where accessible applications are located on the Internet network.

Applicant believes claims 1 and 20, as amended and argued above, are patentable over the art provided by the Examiner. Claims 2-5, 8-18, 21-24 and 27-34 are patentable on their own merits, or at least as depended from a patentable claim. Claims 6-7 and 25-26 are herein cancelled.

- 11 -

It is therefore respectfully requested that this application be reconsidered, the claims be allowed, and that this case be passed quickly to issue. If there are any time extensions needed beyond any extension specifically requested with this amendment, such extension of time is hereby requested. If there are any fees due beyond any fees paid with this amendment, authorization is given to deduct such fees from deposit account 50-0534.

Respectfully submitted, Stuart Berkowitz et al.

By **Donald R. Boys**Donald R. Boys
Reg. No. 35,074

Central Coast Patent Agency, Inc. 3 Hangar Way, Suite D Watsonville, CA 95076 831-768-1755